

APPENDIX G
Summary of Recommended Additions/Changes
To City Ordinances and Land Development Code Changes
Part 1 - Public Land Recommendations

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
1	Ch 7, Sec 7.2, pg 75	<p>Prohibit feeding wildlife on public lands.</p> <p>Enforced through Parks rules and regulations</p>	Will reduce the number of wildlife vs. people conflicts	Additional time spent with customers explaining the impacts of feeding wildlife and enforcement of regulation	Education, Parks rules & regulations
2	Ch 7, Sec 7.1.2, pg 74	Expand minimum setbacks from wetlands/streams from current 50 feet to 150 feet, and to 200 feet in areas supporting riparian vegetation (trees and shrubs).	Provide a wider movement corridor for wildlife as well as minimizing direct impacts to habitat. Reduction in land fragmentation and edge effect.	Additional lands to be dedicated to City from developers. Additional cost to maintain additional acreage, generally weed management.	Education,

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
3	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 76	Develop standard policy to include riparian restoration or enhancement, (done this with Left Hand) including native tree and shrub plantings, weed control, revegetation with native species, and/or bank stabilization.	Provide a wider movement corridor for wildlife as well as enhancing degraded habitat. Providing additional diversity as well as stabilizing a potential future problem such as Left Hand.	Add'l cost to the City to implement these practices	Education, currently implementing
4	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 76	Limit riparian fragmentation by carefully examining bridge location and design to minimize loss of habitat or creation of movement barriers.	Minimize habitat impacts and the impacts to wildlife by stream crossings such as Airport Rd bridge replacement	Additional costs to design teams by looking at alternative and potential cost increases to construct a crossing that has a lesser impact. City will need to have knowledge of resources to make appropriate decisions.	Education, currently implementing

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
5	Ch 7, Sec 7.4, pg 77	Require wildlife inventory and submittal of a Wildlife Management Plan to identify measures to mitigate for losses of wildlife or habitats on lands being subdivided or annexed. Require wildlife habitat dedications based on key habitat types.	Provides City staff some insight as to the wildlife resources on a specific site and provides alternatives to mitigate such losses. Provides a dedication of lands identified as important habitat that benefits the entire City, no different than parkland requirements.	Additional costs to the City to provide inventory.	Education,
6	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 77	Develop strategies for relocation of prairie dogs on City land in conjunction with land development and preservation of all or part of existing colonies where considered appropriate by the City	Provides wildlife diversity and wildlife viewing opportunities throughout City.	Additional cost to the City	Education,

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
7	Ch 7, Sec 7.4, pg 77	Wildlife Habitat Dedications on public property, a dedication of important, high-priority or other identified habitat at time of annexation of development, based upon resource inventory.	Preservation of quality habitat to ensure coexistence, movement corridors, watchable wildlife. Water Treatment Plant	Potential additional costs associated with department's CIP projects	Education, currently implementing
8	Exec Summary, pg 2	Limit public construction projects (e.g. Airport Rd) to seasons that do not pose a threat to sensitive wildlife or establish suitable construction buffers.	Minimize impact to wildlife during breeding seasons or other critical seasons	Will likely cause delays in construction and will require knowledge of site and information about species, additional planning	Education
9	Exec Summary, pg 4 & 5, Last bullet	Require City Manager approval prior to euthanizing any "problem" wildlife. Additionally require any wildlife trapping that is to take place within the City follow all Colorado Division of Wildlife regulation.	Coordination and knowledge of wildlife trapping is through the City Managers office.	Additional time for permitting, seeking input and review from other Departments.	Education

APPENDIX H
Summary of Recommended Additions/Changes
To City Ordinances and Land Development Code Changes
Private lands – Future Implementation Based upon Approved Individual Ordinances as
Directed by City Council

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
1	Ch 7, Sec 7.2, pg 75	<p>Prohibit feeding wildlife except for bird feeders.</p> <p>Enforced on a complaint basis only, similar to the Noxious Weed Ordinance or if observed feeding wildlife on City owned lands</p>	Will reduce the number of wildlife vs. people conflicts	Additional time spent with customers explaining the impacts of feeding wildlife and enforcement of regulation	Education, Code Amendments,
2	Ch 7, Sec 7.2, pg 75	<p>Prohibit keeping pet food and garbage outdoors overnight.</p> <p>Enforced on a complaint basis only, similar to the Noxious Weed Ordinance.</p>	Will reduce the number of wildlife vs. people conflicts	Additional time spent with customers explaining the impacts of feeding wildlife and enforcement of regulation	Education, Code Amendments
3	Ch 7, Sec 7.1.2, pg 74	Expand minimum setbacks from wetlands/streams from current 50 feet to 150 feet, and to 200 feet in areas supporting riparian vegetation (trees and shrubs).	Provide a wider movement corridor for wildlife as well as minimizing direct impacts to habitat. Reduction in land fragmentation and edge effect.	Additional lands to be dedicated to City from developers. Additional cost to maintain additional acreage, generally weed management.	Education, Code Amendments

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
4	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 76	Create incentives for riparian restoration or enhancement, including native tree and shrub plantings, weed control, revegetation with native species, and/or bank stabilization. (examples: Density bonuses, PUD flexibility, creative ideas developed through joint discussions	Provide a wider movement corridor for wildlife as well as enhancing degraded habitat. Providing additional diversity as well as stabilizing a potential future problem.	Cost to the City to provide those incentives	Education, Code Amendments
5	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 76	Create incentives to encourage additional setbacks. Examples: Density bonuses, PUD flexibility, clustering, ideas developed through joint discussions	Provide a wider movement corridor for wildlife as well as minimizing direct impacts to habitat. Reduction in land fragmentation and edge effect.	Cost to the City to provide those incentives	Education, Code Amendments

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
6	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 76	Limit riparian fragmentation by carefully examining bridge location and design to minimize loss of habitat or creation of movement barriers.	Minimize habitat impacts and the impacts to wildlife by stream crossings	Additional costs to developers teams by looking at alternative and potential cost increases to construct a crossing that has a lesser impact. City will need to have knowledge of resources to make appropriate decisions.	Education, Code Amendments
7	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 76 & 77	Create incentives for using stormwater management methods (e.g., drainage swales, detention ponds) that emphasize creation of habitat and enhanced water treatment. NPDES requirements	Creates creative use of stormwater management techniques to provide additional wildlife habitat. City leads by example as exhibited by Lefthand storm project.	Possibility of additional costs to Developers and City associated with providing a more ecological and diverse approach. Hard vs. soft storm drainage	Education, Code Amendments

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
8	Ch 7, Sec 7.4, pg 77	Require wildlife inventory and submittal of a Wildlife Management Plan to identify measures to mitigate for losses of wildlife or habitats on lands being subdivided or annexed. Require wildlife habitat dedications based on key habitat types.	Provides City staff and developer some insight as to the wildlife resources on a specific site and provides alternatives to mitigate such losses. Provides a dedication of lands identified as important habitat that benefits the entire City, no different than parkland requirements.	Additional costs to developers to provide this level of detail. Cost likely passed onto Homeowners if developed as residential.	Education, Code Amendments
9	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 77	Create incentives for relocation of prairie dogs on private lands in conjunction with subdivision or annexation and preservation of all or part of existing colonies where considered appropriate by the City	Provide incentives to developers and/or private landowners to relocate prairie dogs in conjunction with development. Provides wildlife diversity and wildlife viewing opportunities throughout City.	Additional cost to the City to provide incentives	Education, Code Amendments

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
10	Ch 7, Sec 7.3, pg 77	Establish wildlife or habitat mitigation fee as an incentive to minimize adverse impacts and, where impacts cannot be avoided, generate revenue for the City's use in wildlife and habitat restoration, enhancement, management, or monitoring as a way of offsetting the cumulative impacts.	Encourages developers and planners to incorporate habitat and wildlife into design. Establish a funding source for habitat improvement and wildlife management practices. Encourages developers to preserve wildlife and look at alternative. Provides for watchable wildlife in neighborhoods	Additional costs to developers if they decide to eliminate wildlife from site or remove important habitats. Cost likely passed onto Homeowners if developed as residential.	Education, Code Amendments
11	Ch 7, Sec 7.4, pg 77	Wildlife Habitat Dedications, a dedication of important, high-priority or other identified habitat at time of annexation of development, based upon resource inventory. Code amendment required	Preservation of quality habitat to ensure coexistence, movement corridors, watchable wildlife. Preservation w/o loss of development	Without losing number of units would create a more condensed development. Increased potential cost to developer in design or land loss	Education, Code Amendments

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
12	Ch 7, Sec 7.5, pg 77 & 78	Require that lands no longer being actively farmed (e.g., awaiting development) be managed to prevent infestation by noxious weeds and colonization by prairie dogs. Code amendment required.	Minimizes noxious weed infestation and movement of prairie dogs into sites that previously were not present, creating future management difficulties. Maintain lands with best practice management.	Additional costs to developers to maintain lands. Cost likely passed onto Homeowners if developed as residential.	Education, Code Amendments
13	Exec Summary, pg 2	Limit construction projects to seasons that do not pose a threat to sensitive wildlife or establish suitable construction buffers. Code amendment required.	Minimize impact to wildlife during breeding seasons or other critical seasons	Will likely cause delays in construction and will require knowledge of site and information about species, additional planning	Education, Code Amendments

#	Referenced in the Wildlife Management Plan	Plan Recommendations	Opportunities	Constraints	Implementation Method **
14	Exec Summary, pg 4 & 5, Last bullet	Require City Manager approval prior to euthanizing any "problem" wildlife. Additionally require any wildlife trapping that is to take place within the City follow all Colorado Division of Wildlife regulation and be permitted through the City Managers Office .	<p>Coordination and knowledge of wildlife trapping is through the City Managers office.</p> <p>Single office giving approval, currently approved by Police Dept and Building Inspection.</p>	Additional time for permitting, seeking input and review from other Departments.	Education, Code Amendments